

Minutes of the Cheshire and Warrington Local Enterprise Partnership Performance and Investment Committee Meeting held on 24th July 2017 at Wyvern House

In attendance:	Ged Barlow (Chairman), Howard Hopwood, Jan Willis, Steve Park, Chloe Taylor
Apologies:	Martin Ashcroft, John Downes, Chris Hindley
In attendance:	Rachel Brosnahan, Francis Lee, Mark Livesey, Presentations by: Neil Grundy- Crewe Green Roundabout

ltem No.	Item	To be Actioned by	By When
1.	Welcome, Introductions and Apologies		
2	Conflicts of Interest		
	GB asked for any conflicts to be declared. No projects were due to be approved at the meeting.		
3	Minutes from the last meeting		
	Only two actions which were followed up. The minutes were approved.		
4	Presentation		
	Presentation on Crewe Green Roundabout by Neil Grundy (NG), Paul		
	Holder and Chris McDonald.		
	NPV of £52m		
	BCR of 9 expected to be confirmed in final business case.		
	In discussion, the following points were made:		
	 There were no known objectors to the scheme. 		
	 There were no problems envisaged with planning which is due on 27th September 		
	• Negotiations were ongoing in relation to acquiring a small area of		
	land held by the Duchy. It was noted that the Duchy also held land		
	that it wanted to develop for housing which was reliant on the		
	Crewe Green scheme progressing.		
	• Preliminary work had identified the need to move a BT cable and		
	an order had been placed to do this.		
	 In the case of any delay to the proposed works and the 		
	subsequent impact on other local schemes, alternative routes had		
	been identified to manage traffic flows.		

	In terms of handling project risks, the programme manager would		
	continue to report each month on the top 5 programme risks. It was		
	important for P&I to have a good overview of any risks and the ability to		
	ask for further information should it be needed.		
5	Life Sciences Fund		
	The Life Sciences fund had made a request to reduce its outputs from 58		
	businesses receiving investment to 40.		
	Following discussion, it was agreed that:		
	• The Fund shouldn't be allowed to reduce their outputs but should		
	still work to the contracted output of 58 businesses receiving		
	investment.		
	• The Fund should only be allowed to follow the initial investment		
	where there is established market failure, which should be		
	evidenced.		
	 The Fund should prioritise attracting businesses to Alderley Park. 		
	The Committee requested that copies of the Fund's Investment Advisory	50	Ongoing
	Panel meeting papers were circulated.	RB	Ongoing
	ranel meeting papers were circulated.		
	RB to write to the fund to confirm the response to the output change	RB	By end of
	request but will share with JW in the first instance.		July
5	AMRC Update		
	It was confirmed that following work carried out on the AMRC application,		
	the Strategy Committee had decided that the project presented to high		
	level of risk to public funding to be supported. It was noted that AMRC		
	had secured funding from three other parties, which had enabled them to		
	progress the development of two drugs.		
,	Programme Manager update		
	The Programme Manager took the committee through the current		
	programme.		
	It was noted that:		
	Cheshire Green legals had been finalised and sent to CEC for		
	signing. Press materials were in hand to make the announcement.		
	The Chester Bus Interchange was now fully operational, though		
	disappointing not to see the LEPs funding being acknowledged at		
	the site. The programme manager would follow up.	RB	End of July
	 Northgate development was progressing and the council were 		
	looking at different options for funding the next stages including		
	possible Joint Venture		
	• Chief Executives would be meeting on 10 th July to discuss the		
	Ellesmere Port One Estate project. Partners would be signing the		
	MoU by 17 th July to demonstrate commitment.		
		1	

1		
• The Thornton Energy Demonstrator follow up work would be completed in September. The Committee wanted to look again at	RB	September
this project in light of that piece of work.		P&I mtg
The committee reviewed the programme and corporate risks and had no comments.		
ESIF Update		
FL ran through the ESIF paper and discussed areas where there was still funding available.		
In relation to Low Carbon we need to look at developing a regional		
differentiator e.g. by installing far more electric car charging		
points. LAs were already considering doing so and the Committee		
asked whether ERDF could be used to fund charge points. FL felt it was a possibility.		
• The Energy Strategy was shortly to be commissioned. When the		
report is completed the LEP could look at using LGF and ERDF		
together to develop strategic schemes across the sub-region.		
• The Committee felt it was important to match the ERDF calls with		
the Strategic Economic Plan (SEP) so that they were more strategic		
and could have a greater impact.		
While there had been challenges with the ESIF and ESF Skills and		
Employability projects, progress was now being made.		
АОВ		
 The next meeting would be at 1.30 on the 21st August. After August, meetings will move to a Wednesday. The meeting dates for the rest of the year are included on each month's agenda. 		
	 completed in September. The Committee wanted to look again at this project in light of that piece of work. The committee reviewed the programme and corporate risks and had no comments. ESIF Update FL ran through the ESIF paper and discussed areas where there was still funding available. In discussion, the following points were made: In relation to Low Carbon we need to look at developing a regional differentiator e.g. by installing far more electric car charging points. LAs were already considering doing so and the Committee asked whether ERDF could be used to fund charge points. FL felt it was a possibility. The Energy Strategy was shortly to be commissioned. When the report is completed the LEP could look at using LGF and ERDF together to develop strategic schemes across the sub-region. The Committee felt it was important to match the ERDF calls with the Strategic Economic Plan (SEP) so that they were more strategic and could have a greater impact. While there had been challenges with the ESIF and ESF Skills and Employability projects, progress was now being made. AOB 	completed in September. The Committee wanted to look again at this project in light of that piece of work.RBThe committee reviewed the programme and corporate risks and had no comments.ESIF UpdateFL ran through the ESIF paper and discussed areas where there was still funding available.In discussion, the following points were made:• In relation to Low Carbon we need to look at developing a regional differentiator e.g. by installing far more electric car charging points. LAs were already considering doing so and the Committee asked whether ERDF could be used to fund charge points. FL felt it was a possibility.• The Energy Strategy was shortly to be commissioned. When the report is completed the LEP could look at using LGF and ERDF together to develop strategic schemes across the sub-region.• The Committee felt it was important to match the ERDF calls with the Strategic Economic Plan (SEP) so that they were more strategic and could have a greater impact.• While there had been challenges with the ESIF and ESF Skills and Employability projects, progress was now being made.AOB• The next meeting would be at 1.30 on the 21st August.• After August, meetings will move to a Wednesday. The meeting